UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,489	03/20/2007	Kamaluddin Abdur-Rashid	14696-13	7292	
1059 7590 08/03/2009 BERESKIN AND PARR LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l. 40 KING STREET WEST			EXAMINER		
			NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O		
BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CANADA			1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/596,489	ABDUR-RASHID, KAMALUDDIN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CHUKWUMA O. NWAONICHA	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the strength of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
<i>i</i> —		secution as to the merits is					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
dicoca in accordance with the practice and in	x parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-13,16-19 and 32-53</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 5-13, 16-19 and 32-53</u> is/are rej	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•							
Application Papers							
··· _	r						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Current Status**

- 1. This action is responsive to Applicants' amendment of 2 April 2009.
- 2. Receipt and entry of Applicants' amendment is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5-13, 16-19 and 32-53 are pending in the application.
- 4. The 103 rejection of claims 1, 3, 5-13, 16-19 and 32-53 is maintained for the reasons stated in the previous Office Action 01/06/2009.

Applicants' argument and amendments filed 2 April 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because Applicants claimed process is obvious in view of the prior art references cited. Applicants' argument is based on the fact that the prior art references teach a process wherein the variable R³ in the prior art reference of Cobley et al. is different from Applicants claim. The argument is not convincing because the combination of the two references; Cobley et al. and Abdur-Rashid teach Applicants claimed variables and process for preparing an amine from an imine.

Furthermore, Applicant argue that the Examiner's obviousness objection is improper as Abdur-Rashid is not a citable prior art reference. Applicants direct the Examiner to Section 2132.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure which states that when the reference is a U.S. patent published within the year prior to the application filing date, a 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection should be made. Applicant submits that the present application and Abdur-Rashid were commonly owned at the time the present application was filed, and therefore, is not citable prior art under 35 USC

102(e). Applicant directs the Examiner's attention to Section 715.01(b) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure.

Applicants argument is not convincing because the Section 2132.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure states that a rejection is applied under 35 U.S.C. 102(f)/103 or 35 U.S.C. 102(g)/103, or, in an application filed on or after November 29, 1999, under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)/103 using the reference, a showing, that the invention was commonly owned, or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person, at the time the later **invention was made** (not when the application was filed as argued by Applicants) would preclude such a rejection or be sufficient to overcome such a rejection.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/596,489 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha/ Examiner, Art Unit 1621

/Daniel M Sullivan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1621